

Annex B**OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER**

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2018		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Holbeck x 2)		
Date Advertised:	24th January 2018	No. of objections/comments Received:	5

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.
X XXXX Holbeck	<p>X XXXX would like to object to the proposed disabled parking bay adjacent to 143 Holbeck.</p> <p>X XXXX claims that the applicant is able to walk to any of the parking areas near to their home and believes they are only asking for a bay due to the recent imposition of parking restrictions in the garage areas.</p> <p>X XXXX also states that the applicant has a garage that is never used.</p> <p>X XXXX finishes by stating that there not enough parking in Hobeck as it is without taking one away. X adds that the recently introduced parking enforcement in the garage areas should be removed.</p>	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>Whilst the applicant does have a garage the proposed parking bay provides much closer access to their property.</p> <p>Bracknell Forest Council, working with Bracknell Forest Homes, have identified a number of locations in Holbeck that may be suitable for conversion into additional parking areas. The design and implementation of additional parking areas in Holbeck is proposed for inclusion within the Council's 2018/19 budget.</p> <p>As the garage areas are owned and maintained by Bracknell Forest Homes the Council has no authority over what parking enforcement is carried out.</p>	Proceed as advertised
X X XXXX Holbeck	<p>X XXXX would like to object to the proposed disabled parking bay adjacent to 143 Holbeck.</p> <p>X XXXX states that Holbeck is dangerously short on parking spaces, cars parking blocking access for emergency vehicles and residents not going out for fear of having nowhere to park when they return home.</p> <p>X XXXX adds that there is disabled parking space next to their house which they could use or a garage which they don't use.</p>	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>Whilst the applicant does have a garage the proposed parking bay provides much closer access to their property.</p> <p>The Council will be contacting the residents in this area of Holbeck with regards to comments concerning the use of the existing disabled parking bay. If the bay is no longer needed then it will be removed and the area will revert back to general use.</p>	Proceed as advertised

	<p>X XXXX concludes by suggesting converting the grass area, opposite the proposed disabled parking bay position, into additional parking bays.</p>	<p>Bracknell Forest Council, working with Bracknell Forest Homes, have identified a number of locations in Holbeck that may be suitable for conversion into additional parking areas. The design and implementation of additional parking areas in Holbeck is proposed for inclusion within the Council's 2018/19 budget.</p>	
<p>X X XXXXXX Holbeck</p>	<p>X X XXXXXX wishes to object to the proposed disabled parking bay near to number 143 Holbeck. The reasons for X objection are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) There are not enough parking spaces in Holbeck to accommodate the number of vehicles ii) The applicant currently parks a second vehicle in front of the proposed position which rarely moves. X believes it is unfair to request a space when their other car is never moved. iii) X XXXXXX has XXX XXX in X household with XXX XXXXX XXXXXXXXX and X is frequently unable to park near her home. The installation of the disabled parking bay would make this worse. iv) There is currently a disabled parking space two spaces from the proposed position. 	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>The Council will be contacting the residents in this area of Holbeck with regards to comments concerning the use of the existing disabled parking bay. If the bay is no longer needed then it will be removed and the area will revert back to general use.</p> <p>Bracknell Forest Council, working with Bracknell Forest Homes, have identified a number of locations in Holbeck that may be suitable for conversion into additional parking areas. The design and implementation of additional parking areas in Holbeck is proposed for inclusion within the Council's 2018/19 budget.</p> <p>The number of cars an applicant owns is not part of the assessment criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>
<p>X X XXXXXX XX Holbeck</p>	<p>X XXXXXX would like to strongly object to the proposed disabled parking bay in the vicinity of his property.</p> <p>X XXXXXX states the Bracknell Forest Council need to address the issue of parking in Holbeck before they allocate parking spaces to residents.</p> <p>X XXXXXX believes that Bracknell Forest Council should be making more parking areas before installing disabled parking bays.</p>	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>The council has a policy of providing disabled parking spaces for residents that meet their criteria. However, this space will not be for the specific use of the applicant, but will be available to any valid blue badge holder.</p> <p>Bracknell Forest Council, working with Bracknell Forest Homes, have identified a number of locations in Holbeck that may be suitable for conversion into additional parking areas. The design and implementation of additional parking areas in Holbeck is proposed for inclusion within the Council's 2018/19 budget.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>
<p>X X XXXXXX XX Holbeck</p>	<p>X XXXXXX would like to object to the proposal for a disabled parking bay near to X home.</p> <p>X XXXXXX states that there is a car with no MOT parked in the road that never moves.</p> <p>X XXXXXX also states that there are a number of other</p>	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay ,lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>Cars parked without a valid road tax or MOT should be reported to the DVSA as they are the enforcing authority for these offences.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>

	<p>disabled residents who will want their own bay.</p> <p>X XXXXXX adds that there is a number of HMOs in the road which further increases the number of cars looking for somewhere to park. X states X XXXXXXXX sometimes has to park in Holland Pines as there is nowhere to park in Holbeck.</p> <p>X XXXXXX states that residents still park in the garage areas despite the recent restrictions being enforced by BFH and X car frequently gets blocked in.</p>	<p>Bracknell Forest Council has a policy of providing residential disabled parking bays where disabled residents are having a genuine issue parking near to their property. All applications are assessed against the strict criteria for a provision of bay. Whilst there is no upper limit for the number of bays that can be provided in a road the Council is aware of the parking issues in Holbeck and this would be considered when assessing additional applications.</p> <p>Bracknell Forest Council, working with Bracknell Forest Homes, have identified a number of locations in Holbeck that may be suitable for conversion into additional parking areas. The design and implementation of additional parking areas in Holbeck is proposed for inclusion within the Council's 2018/19 budget.</p> <p>As the garage areas are owned and maintained by Bracknell Forest Homes the Council has no authority over what parking enforcement is carried out.</p>	
--	--	--	--

Local Member comments

Cllr Mrs J Angell - I see no problem with these parking bays.

No response was received from Cllr Mrs J McCracken

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2018		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Goughs Meadow)		
Date Advertised:	24th January 2018	No. of objections/comments Received:	2

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
X X XXXXXXX Goughs Meadow	<p>X XXXXXXX objects to the proposed disabled parking space in Goughs Meadow. X does not believe that any type of parking bay should be installed as residents have no parking areas marked for their use. X adds that parking for residents is in very short supply and installing the disabled parking will further limit this.</p> <p>X XXXXXXX believes that if the bay were to be implemented, further spaces should be provided along the entirety of the left hand side of the road, or the proposed disabled parking bay should be moved to one of the two designated parking areas in the road.</p>	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>The Council's policy for the provision of residential disabled parking spaces allows for the installation in existing parking areas or on-carriageway. Whilst possible locations for an additional parking areas in Goughs Meadow have been identified, there is currently no timescale or budget for this work.</p>	Proceed as advertised
X X XXXXX X Goughs Meadow	<p>X XXXXX has no issue with the proposed disabled parking space being granted, however X makes the following suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Remove a section of double yellow lines near to the junction with Swan Lane to allow an additional parking space. 2) XXXXXX XXX XXXX XXXXXX XXXXXXXX XX XXXXXX'X XXXXX XX XX XXX XXXX XXXX XX XXX XXXXXXXXX XX XXX XXXXXXXX 3) X XXXXX suggests several areas that could be converted to additional parking areas. 4) X suggests lowering the kerb on the opposite side of the road from his property so the occupants can park on their driveway. 	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>The Council's policy for the provision of residential disabled parking spaces allows for the installation in existing parking areas or on-carriageway. Whilst possible locations for an additional parking areas in Goughs Meadow have been identified, there is currently no timescale or budget for this work.</p> <p>X XXXXX is able to contact the Borough's Street Lighting team to ask for the feasibility of removing the street light in question. Any costs associated with the removal would need to be met by Mr Nolan.</p> <p>Any resident is able to contact the Borough's Highway Maintenance</p>	Proceed as advertised

		team to ask for the feasibility of installing a dropped kerb outside their home. Any costs associated with the assessment and subsequent construction work would need to be met by the resident.	
--	--	--	--

Local Member comments

Cllr M Brossard - Happy that this resident benefits from a disabled bay in proximity with his residence.

Although the objector comments have been noted, it would be quite wrong to discriminate against a disabled bay applicant.

I agree that parking in Goughs Meadow is at a premium as few properties have off street parking.

That said this is a common issue throughout the borough, particularly with older properties.

By deduction, I am happy for this resident to qualify for a disabled bay.

Cllr Mrs G Kennedy - I agree with Michael's (Cllr Brossard) comments. It is impossible to please everybody.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2018		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Cambridge Road)		
Date Advertised:	24th January 2018	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
X X XXXXXXX XX Cambridge Road	X XXXXXXX objects to the proposed disabled parking bay in Cambridge Road for the following reasons: i) X usually parks in the space in the proposed for the disabled park bay. ii) X states the applicant can barely get out of their car and into their house. iii) X adds X XXXXXXX will have to park further away from their home so X motor insurance will go up.	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>The parking area in question is for the use of any adjacent resident so X XXXXXXX has never had the guaranteed use of one particular space.</p> <p>It is our understanding that the applicant usually parks in the adjacent space but the end bay will allow more room to enter/exit their vehicle.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member comments

Cllr D Worrall - I agree with the officer recommendation as long as the disabled bay does not cause any loss of parking for other users. The objector can just swap places with the applicant based on the evidence provided.

No response was received from Cllr J Porter

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2018		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Rickman Close)		
Date Advertised:	24th January 2018	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
X X XXXXXXX 13 Rickman Close	X XXXXXXX requests that the parking in Rickman Close be expanded to accommodate the additional disabled parking bay. X adds he often has to park in nearby roads given the lack of spaces in Rickman Close.	<p>The applicant currently parks their vehicle within this area of parking and therefore the ratio between the number of vehicles and the number of parking spaces will not alter and any significant change in parking behaviour is not anticipated.</p> <p>A number of years ago extra parking bays were installed in Rickman Close removing the wide grass verges. The remaining grass areas have trees within them which would need to be removed to accommodate further parking and for this reason it is unlikely they would receive planning permission.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member comments

No response was received from Cllr P Heydon or Cllr I McCracken

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2018		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Bucklebury)		
Date Advertised:	24th January 2018	No. of objections/comments Received:	4

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
X X XXXXX Bucklebury	<p>X XXXXX writes to protest concerning the proposal to put a disabled parking bay outside X property, as there an existing disabled parking bay in close proximity which is already being used the applicant.</p> <p>X XXXXX states that parking is very limited in X road and that a new disabled parking space will have a further detrimental effect on this.</p> <p>X XXXXX adds that X believes that the disabled parking bay will be abused by members of the applicants family.</p> <p>X XXXXX finishes by asking if the existing disabled bay will be removed if the proposed bay is installed.</p>	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>As the proposed disabled parking bay will be contained within a Traffic Regulation Order, any abuses of the disabled bay can be enforced by the Council's Parking Enforcement Team.</p> <p>The existing bay currently used by the applicant will be removed resulting in no net loss of parking for other residents.</p>	Proceed as advertised
X X XXXXXXXX XX Bucklebury	<p>X XXXXXXXX objects to the proposed disabled parking bay for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) X queries the applicants disability as they are often seen walking to the shops, walking the dog without the need of a stick or other aid. 2) X states there is an existing disabled parking bay nearby which the applicant already uses regularly 3) X adds that parking in Bucklebury is limited. Extra parking spaces have been provided recently but there still not enough. X points out that this has been acknowledged by local Councillors. 4) X believes that the bay will be abused by members of the applicants family. 5) X XXXXXXXX claims that the applicant has an empty garage that remains unused for the majority of the time. 	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>The existing bay currently used by the applicant will be removed resulting in no net loss of parking for other residents.</p> <p>As the proposed disabled parking bay will be contained within a Traffic Regulation Order, any abuses of the disabled bay can be enforced by the Council's Parking Enforcement Team.</p> <p>The applicant has stated that they are unable to park their car in the garage whilst using their mobility scooter. This has been verified by an independent medical association.</p>	Proceed as advertised

<p>X X XXXXXXXX XX Bucklebury</p>	<p>X XXXXXXXX objects to the proposed disabled parking bay for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) X queries the applicants disability as they are often seen walking to the shops without the need of a stick or other aid. 2) X states there is an existing disabled parking bay nearby which the applicant already uses regularly and is able to walk to and from. 3) X adds that parking in Bucklebury is limited. Extra parking spaces have been provided recently but there still not enough. X points out that this has been acknowledged by local Councillors. 4) X believes that the bay will be abused by members of the applicants family. 5) X XXXXXXXX claims that the applicant has an empty garage that remains unused for the majority of the time. 	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>The existing bay currently used by the applicant will be removed resulting in no net loss of parking for other residents.</p> <p>As the proposed disabled parking bay will be contained within a Traffic Regulation Order, any abuses of the disabled bay can be enforced by the Council's Parking Enforcement Team.</p> <p>The applicant has stated that they are unable to park their car in the garage whilst using their mobility scooter. This has been verified by an independent medical association.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>
<p>X XXXXXX XX Bucklebury</p>	<p>Whilst X XXXXXX does not object to the applicant having a disabled parking bay X objects to the proposed position and suggests that x should continue to use the nearby unused disabled parking bay without removing 1.5 spaces.</p> <p>X XXXXXX adds that the applicant is able bodied is able to walk to the disabled parking space he currently uses.</p> <p>X XXXXXX states that X has XXXXX XXXXXXXX and, when able, X prefers to park in a space rather than the road to allow them to exit the car safely.</p> <p>X XXXXXX states X believes the bay will be abused by members of the applicants family.</p> <p>X XXXXXX concludes by stating that the applicant should make use of X garage.</p>	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>The existing bay currently used by the applicant will be removed resulting in no net loss of parking for other residents.</p> <p>As the proposed disabled parking bay will be contained within a Traffic Regulation Order, any abuses of the disabled bay can be enforced by the Council's Parking Enforcement Team.</p> <p>The applicant has stated that they are unable to park their car in the garage whilst using their mobility scooter. This has been verified by an independent medical association.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>

Local Member comments

Cllr Mrs G Birch - I am concerned about the disabled bay in Bucklebury as the parking problem is a real problem. It doesn't seem that the disabled bay is moving much. Can't it stay where it is? Can you explain why it is being moved?

Council response - The existing disabled parking bay was not put in for the existing applicant but they have been using it since the previous user moved away. The proposed bay is much closer to the applicants home – 15 metres compared to nearly 80 metres. Finally, the existing disabled parking bay will be removed at the same time as the new one is installed so resulting in no net loss of general parking.

Cllr Mrs G Birch - Many thanks for your clear explanation, if the old disabled bay is removed I have no objections.

No response was received from Cllr M Tullet or Cllr Mrs S Ingham

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2018		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Lochinver)		
Date Advertised:	24th January 2018	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
Anonymous Lochinver	<p>The resident states that X believes X knows who the applicant for the space is and X does not feel they warrant a disabled parking bay.</p> <p>X adds on many occasions X has seen the applicant carry out many activities with no evidence of illness or disability.</p> <p>The resident adds that X has no objection to disabled spaces being granted but where parking is limited as in Lochinver, X does not feel its fair for a space to be taken when it isn't necessary.</p> <p>X asks if the space is granted, can it be used by anyone with a valid blue badge.</p>	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>Whilst residential disabled parking bays are installed at the request of a particular resident they can be used by anyone displaying a valid blue badge.</p>	Proceed as advertised

Local Member comments

Cllr Mrs G Birch had no comment to add on this particular proposal.

No response was received from Cllr M Tullet or Cllr Mrs S Ingham

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2018		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Holland Pines)		
Date Advertised:	24th January 2018	No. of objections/comments Received:	2

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
X X XXXXXXXX XX Holland Pines	<p>X XXXXXXXX would like to strongly object to the location proposed for a disabled parking bay in Holland Pines.</p> <p>XX XXXXXXXX states that most residents have 2 cars so it is important to maximise the amount of spaces each road has available.</p> <p>X XXXXXXXX adds that the position of the proposed disabled parking space would reduce the number of spaces as there would be no way of parking in front of this space as is the case now by members of the same household.</p> <p>X XXXXXXXX suggests moving the proposed disabled parking space approximately 4metres away to the other side of the existing dropped kerb.</p> <p>Alternatively, X XXXXXXXX suggests converting the area of land, next to X garage into a parking area.</p> <p>Finally, X XXXXXXXX adds that each house in the area has their own garage.</p>	<p>The Council has approached the applicant and subsequently agreed the bay will be repositioned as per the comments/objections received.</p> <p><u>X XXXXXXXX has subsequently withdrawn X objection based on the proposed bay's position being moved.</u></p>	Modify proposals as per drg no 5029/014A
X & X XXXX XX Holland Pines	<p>X & X XXXX would like to object to the proposed disabled parking bay in Holland Pines.</p> <p>X & X XXXX state that parking in Holland Pines is a problem and that the proposed position of the disabled parking bay will result in two spaces being lost rather than one as cars will not</p>	<p>The Council has approached the applicant and subsequently agreed the bay will be repositioned as per the comments/objections received.</p>	Modify proposals as per drg no 5029/014A

	<p>be able to park behind as happens now.</p> <p>X & X XXXX ask if the positioning of the disabled parking bay can be reconsidered.</p> <p>X & X XXXX finish by asking if the disabled parking bay was no longer required, would it be removed and convert back to general use.</p>		
--	---	--	--

Local Member comments

Cllr Mrs J Angell - I see no problem with these parking bays.

No response was received from Cllr Mrs J McCracken